## ANNEX C - RESPONSES FOR GLATTON & CONINGTON PROPOSALS

RESPONDENT	ADDRESS	COMMENTS
Mr A	Resident of Glatton	Very sensible decision to align to the A1 as boundaries.
Mr R	Resident of Glatton	I fully support the proposed changes. In my opinion it makes common sense to use the A1(M) as the boundary between Conington and Glatton now. This will allow residents to make decisions as to what happens to areas of their community directly adjacent to them.
Mr M	Resident of Glatton	As a resident of Glatton, I fully support the proposed adjustment of the parish boundary. This change will better reflect the natural connections within our community and ensure more effective local representation. Aligning the boundary with the community's identity will improve communication, decision-making, and service delivery. I believe this proposal is in the best interest of Glatton and I encourage its approval.
Mrs M	Resident of Glatton	It makes sense to change the boundary as it will make it easier to do any business involving the village and upkeep.

Mr M	Resident of Glatton	I am in support of the parish boundary as outlined in the latest Glatton Parish council proposal. The proposed new boundary would be more congruous with the present-day layout of the roads.
Mrs P	Resident of Conington	Have looked at this in detail. I can't see how it is detrimental to Conington in any way. If it helps a neighbouring village and subsequent generations, then why not?
Mrs S	Resident of Glatton	I think it makes sense to revise the boundaries to reflect the physical barrier that is the A1M so that land east of the A1 is with Conington and land to the west is within Glatton.
Mr & Mrs A	Resident of Glatton	I agree with the change of boundary for Glatton village.
Mrs R	Resident of Glatton	I support the plan for the change of the Parish boundary. The current boundary makes no sense.
Mr B	Resident of Glatton	I support the proposed Parish boundary changes. It's a sensible proposal and will benefit both Parishes.
Mr K	Resident of Glatton	I support the Parish Council's petition.
Mr W	Resident of Glatton	I wish to support the boundary change.
Mrs L	Resident of Glatton	I support the boundary change proposals for Glatton / Conington.

Mr J	Resident of Glatton	I approve of the proposed changes; they seem very logical and sensible.
Mr S	Resident of Glatton	The most sensible solution is to amend the boundaries in reflection of the physical barrier of the A1M.
Mrs W	Resident of Glatton	Support the new boundary proposal.
Mr F	Resident of Glatton	I wholly support the change of parish boundaries proposed as part of this Community Governance review. The area south of Glatton and West of the A1M is completely cut off from Conington and sits far more closely within the interests of the Glatton community.
Mrs G	Resident of Glatton	A common-sense approach.
Mr & Mrs S	Resident of Glatton	It is a good idea to change the boundary of our Parish. It makes sense and we would be able to look after our village much better.
Mrs B	Resident of Glatton	Hopefully common sense will prevail, and the boundaries will be repositioned to those illustrated on the plan.
Mr S	Resident of Glatton	It's about time that the boundaries are changed. It's been a long time coming and it makes sense that this should happen.
Mr S	Resident of Glatton	It makes sense for the boundaries to change. It should have been done years ago.
Mr A	Resident of Glatton	I fully support the proposed Parish boundary change.

Mrs A	Resident of Glatton	I agree with the proposal for change of boundary between the villages of Conington and Glatton.
Mr G	Resident of Glatton	I support the proposed changes to the parish boundaries. The proposed new boundaries are far more logical as, at present, the A1M motorway represents a clear physical barrier dividing both Glatton and Conington parishes. When the original boundaries were drawn there was no physical barrier as A1M did not exist and it would be sensible to update the boundaries to reflect the current situation.
Mr K	Resident of Glatton	I fully support the proposed boundary change.
Mrs J	Resident of Glatton	This makes perfect sense to change the Parish Boundary as marked.
Mr J	Resident of Glatton	Makes perfect sense. The exchange of areas sits well, especially given the natural divide of the A1. It's always seemed odd why the land just to south of Glatton is in Connnigton's boundary.
Mrs P	Resident of Glatton	I feel the boundary change should go ahead so land directly next to and in direct sight of residents in Glatton are directly involved in any developments.  Conington village cannot see this land and any proposed developments would not be in the resident's direct sight due to the development of the 8-lane A1 motorway. Equally Glatton's current boundary includes land on the other side

		of the A1 and service road so 10 lanes of traffic away and out of sight. Logically it makes sense for Conington to have this boundary and therefore the notification of any proposed development as it would be in their sight.  Common sense needs to prevail with the proposed boundary change.
Mrs C	Resident of Glatton	I agree with the proposed changes to the boundaries.
Mr J	Resident of Glatton	I support the change to the village boundaries for Glatton.
Mr W	Resident of Glatton	I fully support the need for a Community Governance Review and welcome the opportunity to amend the Parish Boundary between Glatton and Conington.
Mr G	Resident of Glatton	The current parish boundaries are outdated. They result in present day fragmented communities, both geographically and for electoral purposes. The proposed boundary changes will remedy this for the benefit of all who live in the affected areas.
Mrs P	Resident of Glatton	The land on the 'Glatton side' of the A1 should be under the Glatton parish, and the land on the 'Conington side' of the A1 should be under the Conington parish due to the direct connection each side has. It should be considered reasonable and logical for each parish to have 'control'/ a say over the land on their respective side

Mr P	Resident of Glatton	of the A1 due to direct impact on the parish and those within the parish.  I fully support the revision of the parish boundary's the current boundary was drawn before the A1 became a motorway and there is no direct access anymore for Conington Parish to enter area to the west of the A1M. Also the care and consideration of the area by Conington means litter and issues are not of interest
		to their parishioners. The exchange of boundaries to sit either side of the A1M is not only logical but also ensures the care and future of the areas closest to each village are under the care of each Parish. As part of the local and neighbourhood plans the area in directly next to Glatton village entrance can be influenced for things such as speed reductions, litter and future development impacting the village on the west of the A1M.  Whereas the land to the east of the A1M should be under the care of Conington Village.
Mrs F	Resident of Glatton	The proposed boundary change would be eminently sensible to any neutral bystander. The part of the Conington Parish which it is proposed to become part of the Glatton Parish lies between Glatton and Sawtry. It is separated from Conington by the A1(M). Residents of

Mro W	Resident of Glatton	Conington cannot access what is currently part of their parish without going through either Glatton of Sawtry. Vice versa the same situation applies for the part of Glatton Parish which would become part of Conington. The current situation is totally archaic and makes no sense at all following the construction of the motorway.
Mrs S	Resident of Glatton  Resident of Glatton	I wish to support the boundary change I have the full support for the Glatton and Conington governance review. Glatton has seen many changes in the past 30 years. The continuing development of Sawtry has dramatically impacted the amount of traffic passing through the village which has a detrimental effect to the village feel. To safeguard the historic buildings and surrounding countryside in Glatton, the re structuring of the parish boundaries alongside the Glatton neighbourhood plan has the residents of Glatton full support. As seen with the neighbourhood planning village consultations and petition for boundary changes. Going forward this will help with the ownership of the environmental assets, flood plains, drainage ditches which have a huge effect for the village particularly in the past 10 years.

The road from Sawtry to Glatton is a narrow country road with no footpath this hopefully could be developed with a new structure of the parish boundaries Increasing the wellbeing and leisure activities of the new residents Sawtry and Glatton of the already planned housing on this road the safety of the residents from Sawtry and Glatton who would be able to enjoy the countryside making this route more accessible to use. Cyclists and running clubs already use this road and improved safety would encourage more leisure activities, linking the two villages. Without the proposed Glatton parish boundary changes could help to deliver this.

Glatton parish council are in as better position to safeguard its parish and parishioners with sustainable environmental and new infrastructure if given this opportunity to change the boundary.

Looking at maps it makes logical sense that Glatton looks after the environment around Glatton and Conington look after Conington. The development of the A1 as it stands now split Conington parish boundary and it makes no logical sense for Conington to oversee Glatton's

		environment. The proposed exchange of land boundaries either side of the A1 has my full support.
Mr S	Resident of Glatton	I support the change in parish governance. These boundaries were drawn a long time ago and do not reflect the current geography of the area. Changing the boundaries would allow both areas to better consider what areas they want to divert their attention too and allow parishes to serve their communities better.
Mrs M	Resident of Glatton	The new boundary lay out makes much more sense and is a positive step in creating the village of Glatton an even better place to live.
Mr M	Resident of Glatton	I think this is a great idea and makes sense for the village which saw overwhelming support from over 90% of Glatton Parishioners who signed in support. The review is a significant step forward in the efforts to achieve the boundary change that has been requested and ensure our community's needs are better represented and served.
Mr S	Resident of Glatton	I support the proposed change of boundaries as they will allow both Parishes to represent the interests of the closest affected electorate.

Mrs P	Resident of Glatton	The current boundaries don't make sense. It would be more beneficial to have the A1 as a natural separator.
Mr P	Resident of Glatton	This submission by Glatton Parish Council highlights the necessity of making the A1(M)— which was established after the initial boundary delineations—as the boundary between the two Parishes. According to Huntingdonshire District Council's own terms of reference: 'The Council will endeavour to select boundaries that are likely to remain easily identifiable and considers that parishes should reflect distinctive and recognisable communities of interest, with their own sense of identity. The feeling of local community and the wishes of local inhabitants are primary considerations in this Review.' Establishing the A1(M) as the boundary is both identifiable and practical. Residents directly affected by the proposed boundary change have expressed their support by signing the petition. Currently, the existing boundaries contradict the terms of reference since Conington land and properties to the West of the A1(M) are inaccessible without traversing Sawtry or Glatton. While I acknowledge the long history of both Parishes, with mentions in the

		Domesday Book, certain historical aspects regarding the Parish boundaries are perplexing. For example, the timber sourced from Roundhill Woods, currently within the Parish of Conington, was used to name the 4 HMS Glatton warships, one of which was commanded by the infamous Captain William Bligh.
Mr C	Resident of Glatton	I approve of the proposed boundary change.
Mrs W	Resident of Glatton	I fully support the proposed boundary changes. The new boundaries make so much more sense, taking into account the vastly altered road network.
Mrs J	Resident of Glatton	It looks as though the A1 has created a natural boundary between Glatton and Conington. The parcels that are proposed to be changed would put land that is directly connected to the village within the parishes' control. Homes that connect directly to the new parcel would have comfort in knowing that they and their parish would be able to have their best interests covered.
Mrs G	Resident of Glatton	This is a natural progression following the upgrade of the A1M and the obvious separation from Holme/Conington.
Mr W	Resident of Glatton	A rational change that corrects historical irregularities, puts Glatton precisely at its heart and helps keep democratic processes local.

Mr B	Resident of Glatton	The proposed change makes perfect sense giving Glatton Parish Council much more control of decisions affecting water courses management of brooks that flow into and through Glatton Village and the road, verge and footpath infrastructure and management of roads leading to/from Glatton Village. This is about to become increasingly important with the imminent commencement of Sawtry's development along "Glatton Road" and its embedded primary school and with long-term aspirations to provide a cycle path/ footpath to access to it safely by Glatton's residents of all ages. The pack provided by Glatton PC is comprehensive and the rationale for the change is difficult to argue against from a logical and safety perspective! A change in the boundary not only allows better management but gives Glatton a solid platform to formulate a good quality Neighbourhood Plan to secure its future for future generations.
Mr W	Resident of Glatton	I agree with the change of boundaries proposed by Glatton Parish community.
Mr D	Resident of Glatton	I would like to wholeheartedly support the review and change of parish boundaries as proposed in this review. Given the existence of the A1 and the absolute divide that that imposes between our

		parishes, the proposals make perfect
		sense.
Mr H	Resident of Glatton	It would make sense to keep our borders
		closer, and to allow the village to decide
		how we expand, having borders across
		the other side of the A1 does not fit (in my
		opinion)